

Remarks/Arguments:

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed May 11, 2005. No fee is due for the addition of any new claims. An appropriate Petition for Extension of Time to Respond is submitted herewith, together with the appropriate fee.

Claims 6 and 8 were pending in the Application prior to the outstanding Office Action. In the Office Action, the Examiner allowed claim 6, and rejected claim 8. The present Response withdraws claims 8, and adds new claims 16-19, leaving for the Examiner's present consideration claims 7 and 16-19. Reconsideration of the rejections is requested.

Claim 8 has been rejected due to a double patenting rejection. This claim is withdrawn and will be placed in a related continuation application to allow the remaining claims issue as a patent as quick as possible. New dependent claims 16-19 and been added which are dependent upon claim 6.

For the above discussed reasons, claims 6 and 16-19 are believed to be allowable and such is respectfully requested.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he [she] can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

Enclosed is a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 for extending the time to respond up to and including today, October 10, 2005.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 10-10-05

By: Joseph P. O'Malley
Reg. No. 36,226

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4156
Telephone: (415) 362-3800